

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,360	04/02/2001		John M. Wachsman	GENOA-P003 7178	
22913	7590	08/23/2005		EXAM	INER
WORKMAN			PAYNE, DAVID C		
60 EAST SOL		NYDEGGER & : MPLE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1000 EAGLE	GATE 7	ΓOWER	2638		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/	
	/	•	
١	(
u	•		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
-	09/824,360	WACHSMAN, JOHN M.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	David C. Payne	2638						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	ay 2005.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on $\underline{06 \text{ May } 2005}$ is/are: a) $\underline{\boxtimes}$ accepted or b) $\underline{\square}$ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the state of t	or the certified copies not receive	a.						
	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da							

Application/Control Number: 09/824,360

Art Unit: 2638

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 6 May 2005. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-15, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant
regards as the invention.

The term "proper" in claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite.

The term "proper" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/824,360

Art Unit: 2638

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. US 6614583 B1 (hereinafter '583 patent). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Regarding claim 1 of the instant application,

For example claim 7 of the '583 patent claims:

A method for introducing optical delay in performing optical time-division multiplexing (OTDM), said method comprising the steps of: a) generating n bit streams of approximately B Gb/s from n tunable lasers having respectively initial wavelengths of $\lambda 1$ $\lambda 2$, . . . and λn ; and b) generating from said n bit streams n delayed bit streams by introducing group velocity dispersion into said n bit streams, wherein n delay amounts D1, D2 . . . and Dn respectively of said n delayed bit streams can be varied by tuning respectively said n tunable lasers.

and furthermore claim 8, claims:

The method of claim 7, further comprising the steps of: c) combining said n delayed bit streams into a composite bit stream of approximately nB Gb/s; and d) in response to misalignment of bits within said composite bit stream, tuning said $\lambda 1 \lambda 2$, . . . and λn for adjusting said delay amounts D1, D2 and Dn to create OTDM time differential between consecutive bits within said composite bit stream.

While the '583 patent does not claim creating a "proper" OTDM time differential it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that both sets of claims create a time multiplexed signal through introducing dispersion regardless of whether or not the time differential is "proper."

Application/Control Number: 09/824,360

Art Unit: 2638

For example, regarding claim 7 of the instant application, see claims 2 or 10 of the '583 patent.

For example, <u>regarding claim 8 of the instant application</u>, see claim 11 of the '583 patent.

For example, <u>regarding claim 9 of the instant application</u>, see claim 12 of the '583 patent.

For example, regarding claim 10 of the instant application, see claim 19 of the '583 patent.

For example, regarding claim 11 of the instant application, see claim 20 of the '583 patent.

For example, regarding claim 12 of the instant application, see claim 21 of the '583 patent.

For example, regarding claim 13 of the instant application, see claim 22 of the '583 patent.

For example, regarding claim 14 of the instant application, see claim 13 of the '583 patent.

For example, regarding claim 15 of the instant application, see claim 14 of the '583 patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,360 Page 5

Art Unit: 2638

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to David C. Payne whose telephone number is (571) 272-3024. The examiner can normally

be reached on M-F, 7a-4p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dcp

David C. Payne
Patent Examiner

AU 2638